A Positive Solution for a Nonlocal Schrödinger Equation

Yongchao Zhang* and Gaosheng Zhu†

Abstract

We provide an existence result of radially symmetric, positive, classical solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation driven by the infinitesimal generator of a rotationally invariant Lévy process.

Key Words: nonlocal Schrödinger equation; positive solution; mountain pass theorem.
Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35A01; 35A15; 35J60.

arXiv:1404.2031v1 [math.AP] 8 Apr 2014

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to provide an existence result of radially symmetric, positive, classical solutions for the following problem,

$$\begin{cases} -2Au + \lambda u = |u|^{p-2}u \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $2 \le N \le 6$, $2 < p < 2^*$ with $2^* := +\infty$ if $N = 2$ and $2^* := 2N/(N-2)$ if $N > 2$, and A is the infinitesimal generator of a rotationally invariant Lévy process.

Example 1.1. Consider the infinitesimal generator A of a Lévy process with jumps of normal distribution.

$$Au(x) := \frac{1}{2}\Delta u(x) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x+y) + u(x-y) - 2u(x))\varphi(y)\mathrm{d}y,$$

where $\varphi(y) := (2\pi)^{-N/2}\exp(-|y|^2/2)$.

A basic motivation for the study of the problem (1.1) is the well known nonlinear Schrödinger equation driven by the infinitesimal generator of a Brownian motion,

$$-\Delta u + \lambda u = |u|^{p-2}u. \tag{1.2}$$

Many authors investigated Equation (1.2) (see [2–4, 9, 10] etc.).

Note that the Brownian motion is a special rotationally invariant stable Lévy process. It is natural to consider the following equation,

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u + \lambda u = |u|^{p-2}u, \tag{1.3}$$

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeastern University at Qinhuangdao, Taishan Road #143, Qinhuangdao, China, 066004. E-mail: ldfwq@163.com.

†School of Science, Tianjin University, Weijin Road #92, Tianjin, China, 300072. E-mail: gaozsm@163.com.

where $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, since $-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a rotationally invariant stable Lévy process with index α. Equation (1.3) has been studied by many authors (see [5–8] etc.).

Naturally, we consider the following (nonlocal) Schrödinger equation,

$$-2Au + \lambda u = |u|^{p-2}u, \tag{1.4}$$

where A is the infinitesimal generator of a rotationally invariant Lévy process. In the present paper, we assume that the Lévy process is of N dimensions, where $2 \leq N \leq 6$, with nondegenerate diffusion terms and a finite Lévy measure.

Equation (1.4) also arises from looking for the standing waves of the following Schrödinger equation,

$$\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - 2A\psi = |\psi|^{p-2}\psi.$$

Before stating the main result of the present paper, let us make some comments on the operators $-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ and A. If $0 < \alpha < 2$, then the Lévy processes generated by $-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ are pure jump processes; in other words, these processes do not contain any diffusion term. In fact, the corresponding characteristics of them are given by $(0,\, 0,\, \mu)$ with

$$\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \frac{K(\alpha)\mathrm{d}x}{|x|^{N+\alpha}} \text{ for some positive constant } K(\alpha).$$

Consequently, the Lévy measure μ is not finite. For the operator A, the corresponding characteristics are given by $(0,\, aI,\, \nu)$ for some positive number a and some finite rotationally invariant Lévy measure ν. Therefore, $-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ does not cover operators of type A and vice versa; besides, Equation (1.4) is an extension of Equation (1.2).

Now we state the the main result as follows.

Theorem 1.2. (1) *Any weak solution of the problem (1.1) in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a C^2 continuous function.*
(2) *There exists a radially symmetric, positive, classical solution of problem (1.1).*
(3) *The values of any positive solution of the problem (1.1) at maximum points are not less than $\lambda^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminaries. The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 3.

2 Some Preliminaries

This section serves as a preparation for the proof of Theorem 1.2. First, we state a compact embedding result. Second, a regularity result will be proved. Finally, we investigate the sign of solutions for a modified version of Equation (1.4).

Define

$$H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = gu,\ g \in \mathbf{O}(N)\}, \text{ where } gu := u \circ g^{-1}.$$

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 ([13, p.18, Corollary 1.26]). *The following embedding is compact,*

$$H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N),\ 2 < p < 2^*.$$

Lemma 2.2. *If u is a weak solution of the equation*

$$-2Au + \lambda u = (u^+)^{p-1}$$

in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. 1. Note that the symbol σ_A of A is given by

$$\sigma_A(\xi) = -\frac{a}{2}|\xi|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\cos(\xi \cdot x) - 1]\nu(\mathrm{d}x),$$

where a is a positive number and ν is a finite $\mathbf{O}(N)$-invariant Lévy measure (see [1, p.128, Exercise 2.4.23 and pp.163-164, Theorem 3.3.3]).

Let A_2 be the operator with the symbol

$$\sigma_{A_2}(\xi) = -\frac{a}{2}|\xi|^2,$$

and A_0 be the operator with the symbol

$$\sigma_{A_0}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\cos(\xi \cdot x) - 1]\nu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

Then we have

$$-2A_2 u = h(\cdot)(1 + |u|),$$

where

$$h(x) := \frac{2A_0u(x) + (u^+(x))^{p-1} - \lambda u(x)}{1 + |u(x)|} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

2. For any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |\xi|^2) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\cos(\xi \cdot x) - 1]\nu(\mathrm{d}x) \right)^2 |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 \mathrm{d}\xi < \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

where "$\widehat{\ }$" denotes the Fourier transformation.

Thus $A_0 : H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a bounded operator thanks to (2.1).

Furthermore, it follows that $h \in L^{N/2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Consequently, we have $u \in L^q_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [1, +\infty)$ by Brézis-Kato theorem (see, for example, [12, p.270, B.3 Lemma]). Then, by the ellipticity of operator A, we find $u \in W^{2,q}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [1, +\infty)$. Now Sobolev embedding theorem implies $u \in C^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Finally, also by the ellipticity of operator A, it follows that $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. □

Lemma 2.3. *If $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a nontrivial solution of the equation*

$$-2Au + \lambda u = (u^+)^{p-1},$$

then $u > 0$.

Proof. 1. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\int(u(x)-u(x+y))(u^-(x)-u^-(x+y))\nu(\mathrm{d}y)\mathrm{d}x\\=&\int\int(u(x)-u(y))(u^-(x)-u^-(y))\nu(-x+\mathrm{d}y)\mathrm{d}x\le 0,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}^2=&\{x:u(x)\ge 0\}\times\{y:u(y)\ge 0\}\cup\{x:u(x)\ge 0\}\times\{y:u(y)<0\}\\&\cup\{x:u(x)<0\}\times\{y:u(y)\ge 0\}\cup\{x:u(x)<0\}\times\{y:u(y)<0\}\end{aligned}$$

for the inequality.

Then it follows that

$$(-2Au,-u^-)_{L^2}=a\|\nabla u^-\|_{L^2}-\int\int(u(x)-u(x+y))(u^-(x)-u^-(x+y))\nu(\mathrm{d}y)\mathrm{d}x\ge 0.$$

Therefore, in light of $(-2Au,-u^-)_{L^2}+\lambda\|u^-\|_{L^2}^2=0$, we have $u^-=0$, which implies $u\ge 0$.
2. Rewrite the equation $-2Au+\lambda u=(u^+)^{p-1}$ as

$$-2A_2u+(\lambda+2\nu(\mathbb{R}^N))u=(u^+)^{p-1}+2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}u(\cdot+y)\nu(\mathrm{d}y).$$

Then we find that

$$-2A_2u+(\lambda+2\nu(\mathbb{R}^N))u\ge 0.$$

It follows from the strong maximum principle that $u>0$. □

Corollary 2.4. *Assume that $u\in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a nontrivial solution of the equation $-2Au+\lambda u=(u^+)^{p-1}$. If $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^N$ is a maximum point of the function u, then $u(x_0)\ge\lambda^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$.*

Proof. 1. Since x_0 is a maximum point of the function u, we have $\Delta u(x_0)\le 0$.
2. Note that Lemma 2.3 implies $u(x_0)>0$. It follows from the positive maximum principle (see, for example, [11, p.283, (1.5) proposition] or [1, p.181, Theorem 3.5.2]) that $A_0u(x_0)\le 0$. This and $\Delta u(x_0)\le 0$ imply $Au(x_0)\le 0$. Therefore,

$$u(x_0)^{p-1}-\lambda u(x_0)=-2Au(x_0)\ge 0.$$

So the inequality $u(x_0)\ge\lambda^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$ holds. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we provide a proof of Theorem 1.2 via the mountain pass theorem.

Observe that the operator $-A$ is positively self-adjoint (see [1, p.178, Theorem 3.4.10 and p.190, Theorem 3.6.1]). We define a new inner product on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by

$$(v,w):=(-2Av,w)_{L^2}+\lambda(v,w)_{L^2}\text{ for any }v,w\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and denote the induced norm of it by $\|\cdot\|$. Since the operator $-A_0$ is also positively self-adjoint, it follows from $A=A_2+A_0$ and (2.1) that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$.

Define a functional $E : H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$E(u) := \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u^+(x))^p \mathrm{d}x.$$

Then it follows from [13, p.11, Corollary 1.13] that $E \in C^2(H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$. In addition, the critical points of the functional E are weak solutions of the equation $-2Au + \lambda u = (u^+)^{p-1}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and vice versa.

Lemma 3.1. *The functional E is $\mathbf{O}(N)$-invariant.*

Proof. We only need to prove that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is $\mathbf{O}(N)$-invariant.

Note that the symbol σ_A of A is given by

$$\sigma_A(\xi) = -\frac{a}{2}|\xi|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\cos(\xi \cdot x) - 1]\nu(\mathrm{d}x),$$

where a is a positive number and ν is a finite $\mathbf{O}(N)$-invariant Lévy measure (see [1, p.128, Exercise 2.4.23 and pp.163-164, Theorem 3.3.3]). We find the symbol σ_A of A is $\mathbf{O}(N)$-invariant.

Therefore, for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $g \in \mathbf{O}(N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g\varphi\|^2 &= (-2A(g\varphi), g\varphi)_{L^2} + \lambda\|g\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= (-2\sigma_A \cdot \widehat{g\varphi}, \widehat{g\varphi})_{L^2} + \lambda\|g\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= (-2g^{-1}\sigma_A \cdot \widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\varphi})_{L^2} + \lambda\|g\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= (-2\sigma_A \cdot \widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\varphi})_{L^2} + \lambda\|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\varphi\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is $\mathbf{O}(N)$-invariant. □

We need the following Lemma 3.2 in the verification of the PS condition for the functional E restricted to $H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Lemma 3.2 ([13, p.134, Theorem A.4])**.** *Assume that $1 \le p < \infty$, $1 \le q < \infty$, and $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$|g(u)| \le c|u|^{p/q} \text{ for some constant } c.$$

Then the operator $L : L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \to L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ defined by $u \mapsto g(u)$ is continuous.

Lemma 3.3 (The PS condition for the functional E restricted to $H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$)**.** *Any sequence $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$d := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\{E(u_n)\} < \infty, \quad E'(u_n) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

contains a convergent subsequence.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of [13, p.15, Lemma 1.20].

1. For n large enough, we have

$$d + \|u_n\| \ge E(u_n) - \frac{1}{p}\langle E'(u_n), u_n\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\|u_n\|^2.$$

It follows that $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ since $p>2$.

2. Without loss of generality, we assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $u_n \to u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Consequently, by Lemma 3.2, we have $(u_n^+)^{p-1} \to (u^+)^{p-1}$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $q := p/(p-1)$.

Note that

$$\|u_n - u\|^2 = \langle E'(u_n) - E'(u), u_n - u\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_n^+(x)^{p-1} - u^+(x)^{p-1})(u_n(x) - u(x))\mathrm{d}x. \quad (3.1)$$

For the first term of the right hand side of the above equality, we see that

$$\langle E'(u_n) - E'(u), u_n - u\rangle \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

since $E'(u_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

And for the second term, it follows from Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_n^+(x)^{p-1} - u^+(x)^{p-1})(u_n(x) - u(x))\mathrm{d}x\\ &\le \|u_n^+(x)^{p-1} - u^+(x)^{p-1}\|_{L^q}\|u_n(x) - u(x)\|_{L^p} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,\end{aligned}$$

because $u_n \to u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $(u_n^+)^{p-1} \to (u^+)^{p-1}$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Therefore, $u_n \to u$ in $H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$ by (3.1). □

Now we are at the position to give a proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. 1. Consider the functional E restricted to $H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thanks to Lemma 2.1 or Sobolev imbedding theorem, there is a positive constant c such that $\|u\|_{L^p} \le c\|u\|$ for any $u \in H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then it follows from the definition of the functional E that

$$E(u) \ge \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{c^p}{p}\|u\|^p.$$

Setting $r := \left(\frac{p}{4c^p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$, we have

$$\inf_{\|u\|=r} E(u) \ge \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{p}{4c^p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} > 0.$$

2. Set $w(x) := \exp(-|x|^2)$. Then $w(x) \in H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and for any $t \in [0, +\infty)$,

$$E(tw) = \frac{t^2}{2}\|w\|^2 - \frac{t^p}{p}\|w\|^p_{L^p}.$$

Note that $p > 2$. We can take a positive number t such that $t\|w\| > r$ and $E(tw) < 0$.

3. Now by the mountain pass theorem, there is a nontrivial critical point u of the functional E restricted to $H^1_{\mathbf{O}(N)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Note that the functional E is $\mathbf{O}(N)$-invariant. Thanks to the principle of symmetric criticality (see, for example, [13, p.18, Theorem 1.28]), it follows that the point u is also a critical point of the functional E. Consequently, the point u is a weak solution of the equation $-2Au + \lambda u = (u^+)^{p-1}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

4. Finally, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 complete the proof. □

References

[1] David Applebaum, *Lévy processes and stochastic calculus (second edition)*, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK8, 2009.

[2] Jaeyoung Byeon and Zhi-Qiang Wang, *Standing Waves with a Critical Frequency for Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **165** (2002), 295–316.

[3] Manuel del Pino and Patricio Felmer, *Semi-classical states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations: a variational reduction method*, Math. Ann. **324** (2002), 1–32.

[4] Manuel del Pino and Patricio L. Felmer, *Local mountain passes for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains*, Calc. Var. **4** (1996), 121–137.

[5] Serena Dipierro, Giampiero Palatucci, and Enrico Valdinoci, *Existence and Symmetry Results for a Schödinger Type Problem Involving the Fractional Laplacian*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.0576v1 (2012).

[6] Mouhamed Moustapha Fall and Enrico Valdinoci, *Uniqueness and nondegeneracy of positive solutions of* $(-\Delta)^s u + u = u^p$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *when* s *is close to 1*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.4868v1 (2013).

[7] Mouhamed Moustapha Fall and Tobias Weth, *Nonexistence results for a class of fractional elliptic boundary value problems*, Journal of Functional Analysis **263** (2012), 2205–2227.

[8] Patricio Felmer, Alexander Quaas, and Jinggang Tan, *Positive solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional laplacian*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh **142A** (2012), 1237–1262.

[9] Andreas Floer and Alan Weinstein, *Nonspreading Wave Packets for the Cubic Schrödinger Equation with a Bounded Potential*, Journal of Functional Analysis **69** (1986), 397–408.

[10] Louis Jeanjean and Kazunaga Tanaka, *A positive solution for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation on* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **54** (2005), 443–464.

[11] Daniel Revuz and Marc Yor, *Continuous martingales and brownian motion (third edition)*, Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[12] Michael Struwe, *Variational methods (fourth edition)*, Springer, Berlin, 2008.

[13] Michel Willem, *Minimax theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 1996.